Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 1923-4 Filed: 07/19/19 1 of 45. PageID #: 95138

EXHIBIT 1

		1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION	
3	IN RE: NATIONAL : MDL No. 2804 PRESCRIPTION OPIATE :	
4	LITIGATION : Case No. 17-md-2804	
5	APPLIES TO ALL CASES : Hon. Dan A. Polster :	
6	:	
7		
8	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
9	SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW	
10		
11		
12	JANUARY 22, 2019	
13		
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WALTER WAYNE DURR,	
15	taken pursuant to notice, was held at Marcus &	
16	Shapira, One Oxford Center, 35th Floor, Pittsburgh,	
17	Pennsylvania 15219, by and before Ann Medis,	
18	Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in	
19	and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on Tuesday,	
20	January 22, 2019, commencing at 8:57 a.m.	
21		
22	GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES	
23	877.370.3377 ph 917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com	
24		
25		

```
20
      subject is Pharmacy Controllable Substance
 1
 2
      Location.
 3
           Was that an email sent to you back in July of
      2009?
           Α.
                Yes.
                And was this during the timeframe when
 6
 7
      you, Mr. Carlson and others were getting prepared
      for HBC to become a distributor of controlled
 8
      substances?
 9
10
           Α.
                Yes.
                And if you could, just tell me who was
11
12
      Joseph Hurley.
13
                Joseph Hurley, at that time, I believe
      he was the vice president of distribution. He's
14
15
      currently our senior vice president of
      distribution and logistics.
16
           Q. How about Andy Zelaski?
17
                Andy Zelaski was a manager who reported
18
      to me and was the manager that I put in charge of
19
20
      the pharmacy area.
21
           Q. And then I think we know who Mr. Carlson
22
      is.
23
           How about the -- it looks like there's three
24
      individuals who were copied. If you could, just
      tell me who those three individuals are.
25
```

```
31
 1
      facility to comply with the Controlled Substances
 2
      Act?
 3
                MR. BARNES: Object to form.
                THE WITNESS: I'll say no. We would
 4
      have a hand in devising or working with Greg and
 5
 6
      his group on making sure or ensuring that we were
 7
      following the proper process and procedures.
      BY MR. HUDSON:
 8
           Q. Well, that's what I'm trying to
10
      understand. You've testified that from a
      compliance perspective, it was a joint effort
11
12
      between the facility and Mr. Carlson's group.
13
           And I guess what I'm trying to figure out is
      within that joint responsibility, were there
14
15
      certain responsibilities that fell on the facility
      versus Mr. Carlson's group?
16
17
           How do I figure out who was responsible for
      what?
18
19
                Yes. So an example would be cameras and
20
      the loss prevention piece that fell to Andy
21
      Zelaski and myself, primarily Andy, and working in
22
      connection with the DEA on what are either best
23
      practices or what was regulatory and needed.
24
           Again, that was just an example.
25
           Q. Sure. And if you could, just walk
```

through what you believed to be the obligations that arose in 2009 when that facility became a distributor of controlled substances.

And just, if you could, describe what you recall about the steps taken by your team or Mr. Carlson's team to put policies, procedures or things in place to comply with the Controlled Substances Act.

A. So we had -- we knew to bring in controlled substances, we had to have a specific area. And it had to meet DEA requirements of the gauge of steel in the wire for the cage, whether there was a roof on it or not, or ceiling I guess I should say. It had to be bolted down to the floor. We had to ensure that the seams were tack-welded and not just bolted.

And our Sonitrol system, we had to work with our loss prevention to set that up, ensuring that background checks were covered, drug and alcohol screens were covered.

Those are all the things that -- again, working jointly with Greg Carlson and the DEA to get that information to know what direction we should go to properly set up this facility.

Q. Did you personally have any

```
34
 1
      becoming a distributor of controlled substances?
                Not top of mind, no.
 2
           Α.
 3
           Q.
                Is it fair to say that the measures or
      the steps or the plan that you implemented for the
      warehouse were focused on the security
      requirements of the Controlled Substances Act?
 6
 7
           Α.
                It was one of our focuses.
 8
           Q. Well, so far you've talked about
      security cameras being installed; right?
 9
10
           Α.
                Yes.
11
                And then you talked about creating a
           Q.
12
      specific area in the warehouse for controlled
13
      substances that was enclosed with steel and bolted
      down to make sure that it was a secured area;
14
15
      right?
16
           Α.
                Yes.
17
                And then you talked about background
      checks for employees who would be dealing with the
18
19
      controlled substances; right?
2.0
           Α.
                Yes.
21
                So can we agree that all of those were
22
      steps taken to address either security or theft
23
      controls -- I'm sorry -- security or theft
2.4
      concerns?
25
           Α.
                Yes.
```

- Q. Anything else you can think of -- I just want to make sure that I've exhausted this topic -- that either your group or Mr. Carlson's group did to prepare the warehouse to become a distributor of controlled substances?
- A. One is, as stated in one of the exhibits, was just inventory controls.

- Q. And tell me what steps HBC did to address inventory controls.
- A. Well, we implemented a more robust counting system than we had out in, I'll say, the general HBC warehouse.

Example, and I don't know that I'll get the numbers exactly right, but for the narcotics cage as we refer to it, we would count that prior to anybody selecting every day. We would count it after -- as the team members were leaving to go to break, the selectors.

We would count it before each route left.

And then that was for the pick slots. And then we would count the reserves once a month unless we saw any discrepancies in our pick location.

Q. So the inventory controls that were put in place involved more rigorous and more regular counting of the inventory to make sure that the

```
36
      inventory that was supposed to be there was, in
 1
      fact, there?
 2
 3
           A. Yes.
           Q. Anything else that you can think of in
 4
      terms of controls or steps taken at the HBC
      warehouse to become a distributor of controlled
 6
 7
      substances?
 8
                As we talked, our security, we had a fob
      system around the entire building. Like we had a
 9
10
      specific fob system, Sonitrol system.
11
           We regulated who had access to the room
12
      itself. And then we had even more limited access
13
      to the narcotics cage itself along with locks, and
14
      the cage itself had its own sensors and alarm
15
      system specific to the cage.
           Q. Anything else you can think of?
16
           A. Not at this time.
17
                I'm going to switch gears a little bit
18
19
      and hand you what I've marked as Exhibits 3 and 4.
2.0
                (HBC-Durr Exhibits 3 - 4 were marked.)
      BY MR. HUDSON:
21
22
                Mr. Durr, I'll represent to you that
23
      Exhibit 3 is some responses to questions that the
24
      plaintiffs asked HBC.
25
           And I'm going to turn to page 8 of these
```

A. I'm not aware of any from the corporate. That doesn't mean there weren't any. I'm just not aware of them.

- Q. Would it be fair to say then that between 2009 and 2016, there was no coordination between yourself and the Giant Eagle corporate office in terms of using Giant Eagle systems to monitor suspicious orders of controlled substances?
- A. That would not be fair. We -- "we" being my team, Andy Zelaski and Christy Hart -- had daily communications with the corporate team via phone and/or through our systems monitoring inventory and communicating inbound/outbound shipments.

So we believe we had a system in place.

- Q. Yourself, Mr. Zelaski and Erin Hart?
- A. Christy Hart.
- Q. I'm sorry. Christy Hart.
- Was there anyone else at the HBC facility who was involved in communicating with the compliance group?
- A. Those were the primaries. On a day off
 we had a backup for Christy. It was typically
 Dominique McFann. But Christy and Andy were the

```
64
      primaries.
 1
 2
           Q.
                And when did these communications begin?
 3
           Α.
                From the moment we opened operations.
           Q. From the moment that you began acting as
 4
      a distributor of controlled substances?
 6
           Α.
                Yes.
 7
           Ο.
                So from November of 2009 until the
 8
      present?
                I would say probably even before that,
 9
10
      as you've seen, as we were setting up to go into
11
      distribution.
12
           Q. And I guess what I'm focused on is
13
      suspicious order monitoring of controlled
14
      substances shipments that were going in and out of
15
      the warehouse.
16
           Is that your understanding of suspicious
17
      order monitoring?
18
           Α.
                Yes.
                We've looked at -- if we go back to
19
20
      Exhibits 5 and 6 -- well, actually, and Exhibit 4
21
      as well, can we agree that HBC did not have any
22
      written policies or procedures in effect at least
23
      prior to August 1, 2014?
2.4
           A. No. We can't agree on that.
25
           Q. Are you aware of written policies or
```

procedures that existed prior to August 1, 2014 relating to monitoring of suspicious orders of controlled substances?

- A. I would say we had a system in place as it related to suspicious order monitoring.
- Q. Okay. And my question though is more specific. And we'll get there, and I'll ask you more questions about what that program or system looked like.

I guess what I'm focused on right now are just written policies or procedures. Okay?

- A. I believe we had those policies. Where they are or what happened to them through time, I can't answer that.
- Q. You believe that the HBC warehouse had suspicious order monitoring policies or procedures that existed prior to August 1, 2014?
- A. I can't say that we had a policy specifically as you're stating it, but I believe we had a system in place and processes and procedures.
 - Q. Did you have those in writing?
- A. I would say that we did, but I don't have them.
 - Q. When were those policies or procedures

66 1 put into writing? I would say in 2009, as we were working 2 3 to set up the facility. So I asked you previously questions 4 Q. about all the steps that HBC took to prepare the 6 warehouse to become a distributor of controlled 7 substances. 8 Do you remember that? I do. 9 Α. 10 And I asked you, I think, three or four times because I wanted to try, as best I could, to 11 12 get an exhaustive list. 1.3 You talked about securities and cameras. You talked about the fob that had limited access. You 14 15 talked about controlling the specific area with a cage of steel that's bolted down. You talked 16 about background checks. And you talked about 17 inventory controls that were put in place to make 18 19 the counting more robust and more regular at the 20 facility, specifically for controlled substances. 21 Do you remember that testimony? 22 Α. I do. 23 And at that time, when I asked you those 24 questions, you didn't identify suspicious order monitoring of controlled substances as one of the 25

Manhattan that we would use for cycle counting, inventory reconciliation, entering purchase orders, but they were all housed under the Manhattan.

2.4

- Q. Am I correct though that Manhattan did not have any functionality where the warehouse could set thresholds or quantities of suspicious orders of controlled substances using historical ordering patterns or things of that nature?
 - A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. So in terms of monitoring suspicious orders of controlled substances, is it fair to say that the HBC warehouse did not have any computer systems that were utilized on a systematic -- in a systematic way to monitor orders?
- A. No. I don't believe that's fair to say that. We could monitor orders. We knew exactly what was coming in from the stores. We also knew exactly what was coming in from the vendors. And there were checks and balances in place.

In addition, the corporate team had full visibility of our inventory at all times and could see if there was any fluctuation whatsoever.

Q. So what I'm trying to separate out is corporate, because it's my understanding -- is it

```
90
 1
      suspect at the time within our walls. On a
      grander scale, I don't know that I can put the
 2
 3
      framework to that.
           But if we at HBC saw anything I'll say out of
      the ordinary, our first communication is to the
      pharmacy group to understand what we're seeing.
 6
 7
      I'm not saying that we did, but...
 8
                And that's, I guess, what I'm trying to
           Q.
      get an idea of, is what would be -- what criteria
 9
10
      would the warehouse apply under your system or
      program to try to figure out whether a shipment is
11
12
      out of the ordinary.
13
                So we still see it today even outside of
14
      the pharmacy area.
15
           Our team members in that specific area, the
16
      narcotics room, we were very limiting on who went
17
      in there. Typically, no more than two team
      members a night would be in that room, and most
18
19
      times we tried to keep it to one.
2.0
           They would get attuned to the normalcies, if
21
      you will, of orders going in and out of that room,
22
      because they're picking the same items over and
23
      over again day in and day out.
24
           So, for instance, if they see a store
      typically would get ten selling units of a
25
```

```
particular item, and now all of a sudden the store has 50 selling units going out, the team members would bring it to our attention, either Christy Hart or whoever the supervisor is. And our first call would be to the pharmacy, is this truly an order that was placed and are those quantities that you absolutely want?
```

- Q. So tell me then how HBC is able to figure out that that particular store usually orders ten of that item, and now they're ordering 50?
- A. A lot of that is just -- I'll categorize it as empirical from the team members -- not from the data, but from the team members working so closely with those products and being in that same area on a regular basis.
- Q. So there's not -- so the identifying the suspect orders or the orders that are not ordinary -- I think your words were out of the ordinary -- that would fall on the particular pickers that are working at the facility to fill the orders, and they'd be relying on their experience and knowledge from doing that day in and day out filling orders?
 - A. As a first line. I can't answer for

121 1 Exhibit 11? 2 Α. To a small degree. 3 Do you know -- assuming that any of these numbers are correct, and I'm qualifying that, but we're not sure -- what happened to these 6 hydrocodone combination products shipped by HBC to 7 the Giant Eagle pharmacies? 8 They would have gone into our pharmacies. 9 10 And what happened to them after they went to the pharmacies? 11 12 Α. They would have filled legal 13 prescriptions. Q. So, to your knowledge, were they 14 15 diverted in any way? 16 Not to my knowledge. Do you know the difference between -- or 17 do you know what the term diversion means? 18 19 Α. I do. 20 What does it mean to you? Q. 21 I believe that something is being pulled 22 in a different direction than its intended purpose 23 or intended sale or use. 2.4 Q. You mentioned a couple of times in your testimony that HBC -- it's a single warehouse; is 25

```
122
 1
      that correct?
 2
           Α.
                Yes.
 3
           Q.
                Located in Washington, PA?
           Α.
                Yes.
 4
                Do you know what the size of that
           Q.
 6
      warehouse is?
 7
           A. 305,000 square feet.
           Q. And of that 305,000 square feet, how
 8
9
      much is dedicated to pharmacy operations?
10
                There was 12,000 square feet and an
      additional 2,000 for a receiving area. So 14,000
11
12
      total.
13
           Q. 14,000 for pharmacy?
14
           Α.
                Yes.
15
                Including all pharmaceutical products,
           Q.
      even noncontrolled?
16
17
           Α.
                Yes.
                What portion of that 12,000 square feet
18
19
      were dedicated -- was the narc room, so-called
20
      narc room?
21
           Α.
                Maybe 2,000 square feet.
22
                Was the narc room partitioned off in
           Q.
23
      some secure way from even the pharmacy room?
24
           Α.
                Yes.
           Q. And was the pharmacy room partitioned
25
```

```
123
 1
      off from the rest of the warehouse?
 2
           Α.
                Yes.
 3
           Q.
                And what was the rest of the warehouse,
      the other 292,000 square feet, what was that
      dedicated to?
 5
 6
                That's health/beauty care items,
 7
      cigarettes, tobacco, candy, mints.
 8
           Q. Okay.
                General merchandise.
 9
           Α.
10
           Q. And was all that product shipped to
      Giant Eagle grocery stores?
11
12
           Α.
                Yes.
13
                Did the HBC warehouse ship to any
14
      entities other than affiliated Giant Eagle grocery
15
      stores and Giant Eagle pharmacies?
                Pharmacies, only Giant Eagle. For the
16
      grocery side, we did have some nonbanners and
17
      independent stores.
18
19
                Independent Giant Eagle stores?
           Q.
20
           Α.
                Yes.
21
                All right. But for the pharmacy --
           Q.
22
                Giant Eagle only.
           Α.
23
           Q.
                -- was that Giant Eagle only?
24
           Α.
                Yes.
25
           Q. And would that be to pharmacies
```

```
124
 1
      throughout the Giant Eagle regional chain?
 2
           Α.
                Yes.
                Do you know approximately how many
 3
           Q.
      pharmacies are in the Giant Eagle regional chain?
 4
           Α.
                I believe 200.
 5
 6
           Q.
                About 200?
 7
           Α.
                Yeah.
 8
           Q.
                Did Giant Eagle ever -- did the HBC
      warehouse ever supply any internet pharmacies?
 9
10
           Α.
                No.
11
                Did the HBC pharmacy ever supply
           Q.
12
      Schedule II opioids to any entity, including Giant
13
      Eagle?
14
           Α.
                No.
15
                Did the HBC warehouse -- with respect to
           Q.
      the drugs at issue in this case, do you understand
16
      those to be Schedule II opioids?
17
18
           Α.
                Yes.
19
                And when Giant Eagle distributed --
           Q.
20
      well, let me back up.
21
           Giant Eagle never or the HBC warehouse never
22
      distributed Schedule II opioids; is that correct?
23
                MR. HUDSON: Object to form.
24
                THE WITNESS: No.
25
```

```
125
      BY MR. BARNES:
 1
 2
           Q. Did you understand hydrocodone
 3
      combination products to be a Schedule III for a
      period of time before it was reclassified as a II?
 4
           Α.
                Yes.
                And did the HBC warehouse, while it was
 6
 7
      a Schedule III, distribute hydrocodone combination
 8
      products to Giant Eagle pharmacies only?
           Α.
 9
               Yes.
10
           Ο.
                And when it was reclassified to a
      Schedule II, did HBC stop distributing that
11
12
      product?
13
           Α.
                Yes.
14
           Q. Was that approximately in October of
15
      2014?
                I believe so. Again, I was not there at
16
      that time.
17
           O. You talked a lot about the so-called
18
19
      inbound and outbound controls at the HBC
20
      warehouse. And I want to follow up a little bit
21
      on that.
22
           By inbound, do you mean the purchasing into
23
      the warehouse?
           A. Yes.
24
           Q. Now, I want you to focus solely on
25
```

```
126
 1
      controlled substances.
 2
           Were you there when the cage system was set
      up at the HBC warehouse in 2009?
 3
           Α.
               Yes.
 4
           Q. And did you have responsibilities in
 6
      that role --
 7
           A. Yes.
           Q. -- in getting ready to distribute
 8
      Schedule III and IV and V controlled substances?
 9
10
           A. Yes.
               And you said that you had some
11
           Q.
12
      interaction with DEA when doing that?
           A. Correct.
13
           Q. Does the name Lou Colissimo ring a bell
14
15
      to you?
                It does.
16
           Α.
           Q. And who is he?
17
           A. I believe he was the DEA inspector at
18
19
      the time.
20
           Q. Was he from the regional DEA Pittsburgh
      office?
22
               I believe so, yes.
23
           Q. And did he come out to the facility to
24
      assist with setting up the facility for the
25
      distribution of Schedule III, VI, and V controlled
```

```
127
 1
      substances?
 2
           Α.
                Yes.
 3
           Q.
                Did he assist with providing DEA input
      as to what the DEA wanted the warehouse to do in
 4
      order to get a registration and license to
      distribute Schedule III, IV, and Vs?
 6
 7
           Α.
                Yes.
 8
           Q.
                And did that involve -- first, I'll
      break it down -- the physical plant itself, what
 9
10
      the DEA wanted and required to distribute IIIs,
      IVs, and Vs?
11
12
           Α.
                Yes.
13
                And did you meet all those requirements
      with Agent Colissimo?
14
15
           Α.
                We did.
16
                Did he or his team inspect the facility
      before, during, and after construction?
17
18
           Α.
                Yes.
                Did they approve the facility in those
19
           Q.
20
      inspections?
21
           Α.
                Yes.
22
                Now, beginning in 2009, when HBC first
23
      began distributing Schedule III, IV, and V
24
      controlled substances, you mentioned the so-called
25
      warehouse management system was called Manhattan?
```

```
128
 1
           Α.
                Yes.
 2
                How long had that -- that was a
           Q.
      computerized system?
 3
                It was and is.
 4
                And how long had that computerized
 6
      system been in effect at the warehouse in 2009?
 7
                2005, we brought that onboard.
           Α.
                And does that control the inventory at
 8
           Q.
      the warehouse from beginning to end?
 9
10
           Α.
                Yes.
11
                And does it also interface with the
           Ο.
12
      Giant Eagle pharmacy ordering system?
13
           Α.
                It does.
                Does the Manhattan system inside the
14
15
      warehouse, does it involve the use of scanners?
           Α.
16
                Yes.
                And is that given to all of the pickers?
17
                Yes, it is.
18
           Α.
19
                And, again, I'm just talking about the
           Q.
20
      pharmacy area.
21
           The Vocollect system, is that at the
22
      warehouse itself?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
24
           Q.
                Does that interface with Manhattan?
25
           Α.
                Correct.
```

Q. And does the Vocollect system provide direction electronically to pickers for each order that has come in from the pharmacies?

A. Yes.

- Q. And can you explain to us a little bit more in detail how the Vocollect system works.
- A. The orders come from the stores. Again, they come through our system, routing first, and then into the Manhattan system. They interface with Vocollect.

The team members are assigned a particular area in the building, pharmacy being one of those areas, and the narcotics cage being a specific area.

The team member would state that they were ready to work in a particular region. Once they identify the region, they would also identify what printer they were going to work from.

From there, the system -- based on some controls, meaning everything in the building is weighed and measured so that we can properly cue the totes in the trailers, the team members would then be given a set of labels that are specific to what should go in that tote.

Q. And what is a tote? Is that a box of

130 1 some sort? You could call that a plastic box, if 2 Α. 3 you will, with a lid that folds in from both sides. Ο. Okay. Once they identified the region, the 6 7 printer, and get their set of labels, then we 8 would dictate how many labels they would get so that we could maintain balance in selection. We 9 10 didn't want a particular selector stuck on one store too long, potentially holding up routes. 11 12 Once that happened, the team member would 13 then be directed to an aisle, a bay, a shelf, a slot. And then they would be told to pick the 14 15 quantity that the pharmacy had ordered. As they're picking the quantities, they had a 16 wrist scanner, and they would pass the individual 17 quantities in front of that wrist scanner. 18 19 Do you mean the bar codes --Q. 20 Α. Yes. The bar code. 21 -- of what was being picked? Ο. 22 The bar code of what's being picked. 23 And as they would do that, they would place 24 it in the tote. 25 And then as they were finished, before they

```
131
 1
      would get their next order to pick, they would
      have to scan or call in a check digit of that slot
 2
 3
      to confirm they were in the right slot.
           Once they do that, then they would just -- it
 4
      was redundant after that of selection process.
 5
 6
                So is the picking process through
 7
      Manhattan and the Vocollect system highly
 8
      computerized and monitored continually throughout
      the day?
 9
10
           Α.
                Yes.
                And does the system specifically tell
11
           Q.
12
      each picker where exactly -- you said the aisle,
13
      the shelf, and the slot -- they're supposed to go
      to make the pick?
14
15
           Α.
                Yes.
16
                And as they physically make the pick, it
      scans right into the system?
17
                Yeah. The team members pushing --
18
19
      pulling it past a scanner.
20
           Q.
                They scan the bar code on their scanner?
21
           Α.
                Correct.
22
                So then the system knows that it's in a
23
      specific tote at that time?
24
           Α.
                Correct.
           Q. And what happens to -- when the picker
25
```

- is done picking in the narcotics room, what does he do then?
 - A. So the team members not in the narcotics room would take their tote -- and there was an opening with a conveyor going into the narcotics cage -- they would put their tote on there. It would go into the narcotics room. And then the narcotics selector would pick their portion of that order and place it in the tote.
 - Q. I see. So not all the pickers in the warehouse were allowed in the narcotics room?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you said only one or two at a time?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And once that picking was done in the narcotics room, what happened -- and it was put into the tote -- what happened to the tote?
- A. So once the selection is done and we believe that we are ready to put that on the trailer for shipment, our system has a process where we have to scan every single individual tote.
- If you for some reason would miss a tote or something was unaccounted for, the system would not allow you to do what's called close load. It

133 1 then forces you down to a specific tote ID to 2 answer why or where that tote might be. 3 Q. So did you need to close the load before you shipped? 4 Α. Yes. Q. And once it was ready for -- the load 6 7 was closed and was ready for shipment, what 8 happened to the tote? 9 And I guess it was on a pallet of some sort? 10 There would be pallets -- they were already palletized. The pallet would be 11 12 shrinkwrapped and then loaded onto the trailer. 13 Q. And the trailers, who handled the --14 whose trailers were they? 15 They were Talon Logistic or Giant Eagle trailers. 16 17 Q. Did you ever do any shipping with McKesson? 18 McKesson -- we would deliver to 19 Α. 20 McKesson, and then McKesson would deliver out to 21 the pharmacies from there. 22 Q. Okay. So that's outgoing inventory. 23 In the process you described, the so-called 24 Manhattan system, through the use of bar codes and scanners, would know every step of the picking 25

```
134
 1
      process all the way up to the close load and is
      ready for shipment?
 2
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
                And if there were any discrepancies in
 4
           Q.
      that, you couldn't ship?
 5
 6
                Yeah. We wouldn't ship.
 7
                Now, how about on the inbound side; who
           Ο.
 8
      determines what's coming into the warehouse?
                At that time that would have been Greg
 9
           Α.
10
      Carlson's group.
11
           Q.
               At corporate?
12
           A. At corporate.
13
           Q.
                And did they manage incoming inventory?
14
           Α.
                Yes.
15
           Q.
                Corporate?
16
           How would the warehouse know what to expect?
      Trucks just show up or would you be told by
17
      corporate that expect --
18
19
                It would be scheduled through the
20
      system. We would know that a vendor or, excuse
21
      me, a carrier was coming, and on that particular
22
      carrier would be a specific vendor.
23
                By the way, what type of physical
24
      barriers or controls did you have for outgoing or
25
      incoming shipments of narcotics?
```

135 A. We had several. We have the cage 1 itself. Outside of the cage, we had numerous 2 3 cameras. I believe we had probably 30 or 40 cameras within that small confine. 30 or 40 cameras --Ο. Α. Yes. 6 7 -- for the pharmacy room itself? Ο. 8 Α. Yes. How about inside the narc room? 9 Q. 10 A. I believe we at least had anywhere from eight to 12 different angles looking at it, or 11 12 beaming into it, or an overlap. 13 Q. And was that to guard against theft and diversion? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 All right. And so once it was palletized and ready for shipment, did it just sit 17 in the warehouse next to a crate of oranges? 18 19 A. No. We had two areas that -- it would 20 remain in the pharmacy room or it would be 21 monitored as it was being loaded. 22 Once it was loaded, the door was shut and 23 sealed, and those sealed numbers would be 24 communicated or written down on the outgoing 25 paperwork.

Q. You mean shut and sealed inside the tractor-trailer?

1.3

- A. Correct. In the trailer at -- while it was stationed in our door. And from there, it was leaving.
- Q. Were there any precautions taken to avoid people being able to slip in alongside the trailer or under the trailer?
- A. Yes. On a standard trailer in our door 50 and 51, they butted up very tightly against the building itself. And they were at the height where there were no gaps around that.

If we had an inbound UPS/Fedex load coming in, those are box trucks that are at a lower level, and they can't use those normal dock. So what we had there was -- we had bollards, steel bollards that were drilled into the ground. We had a steel plate welded onto those bollards so that no one could slide up under the truck or right into the building.

We also had created a cage where, when the UPS driver or Fedex driver would come, they would pull those cages to the sides of the vehicle.

Again, to deter anyone from having quick or easy access into the building.

If the driver was not delivering something through that door and they had maybe one or two cases and they were delivering them, first they would have to ring a buzzer.

We had a camera right there so we could see who was out there and if anybody was around or near them, then make a determination if we were going to let them into the building.

If we were going to let them into the building, we then had a secondary cage, if you will, at the door. That was locked at all times and monitored. Then we would let them into there, and then decide whether we were just going to transact with them in the cage or let them into the room itself.

- Q. This is all related to narcotics transactions?
- A. This related to any of the pharmacy items. Again, the narcotics would have been in the cage separate of that.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So they weren't coming directly into
 that narcotics cage.
 - Q. All of these physical controls, were these something that Agent Colissimo from the DEA

```
138
      had asked HBC to install, or did this include some
 1
      of his recommendations and then --
 2
 3
                MR. HUDSON: Object to form.
                THE WITNESS: I would say they included.
 4
      BY MR. BARNES:
               And was he aware during his inspections,
 6
 7
      before you began distributing controlled
 8
      substances, about all these safety precautions?
           A. Yes.
 9
10
                Would the warehouse get copies of the
      purchase orders issued by the buyers or the
11
12
      category managers at corporate?
13
           A. Yes. We had the ability to print those
14
      in-house.
15
           Q. And so if a truck pulled up, you would
      be able to pull the purchase order?
16
17
           A. Yes.
                And were there controls to match what
18
      was being delivered to the purchase order?
19
20
           A. Yes. Absolutely.
           So we had a confined area where we would do
21
22
      the pharmacy receiving, whether it be narcotic or
23
      otherwise.
24
           If it was a temperature-sensitive item, then
25
      we would bring it all the way into the room. If
```

143 1 shift? A. As I stated, when the team members would 2 3 go on their breaks, the support staff would stay and remain back and do a count. 4 When the selection was done for that route, 6 we'd go in and count the cage again. 7 Q. Oh, at every break and at every 8 shipment? Α. 9 Yes. 10 Q. And then at the end of the day? A. Correct. 11 12 Q. So how many times, for example, would 13 Vicodin get counted in the specific slot and shelf that it was on in the warehouse in any given day? 14 15 A. In a number of routes, anywhere from like four to six times. 16 Q. Why were you doing all that cycle 17 counting? 18 19 A. We wanted to ensure the integrity of 20 our -- of our inventory. But also it gives you an 21 opportunity to catch anything that may be -- that 22 may be amiss. 23 Would it give you an opportunity to spot 24 theft and diversion? 25 A. It would.

```
144
                MR. HUDSON: Object to the form.
 1
      BY MR. BARNES:
 2
 3
           Q. And was the Manhattan system the
      computerized system that was monitoring every step
      of this inbound and outbound process at the
 6
      warehouse?
 7
                MR. HUDSON: Object to the form.
 8
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
      BY MR. BARNES:
 9
10
                Did the DEA come in from time to time
      and look at the warehouse system and check
11
12
      inventory and ask for records?
13
           A. Yes, they did.
14
           Q. How often did that happen?
15
           A. At least annually.
16
           Q. At least annually.
           And what would they typically ask for when
17
      they came in?
18
19
                They almost always -- I would say they
      always went to the narcotics cage. They would
20
      show up unannounced, introduce themselves, state
21
22
      the nature of their business.
23
           And they would -- I don't know whether they
24
      randomly or how they decided on their list, but
25
      they would show us or tell us which items they
```

145 1 wanted to do counts on. And they would also tell us what dates they want to see our records from. 2 3 Q. So would they take, for example -- I'll use Vicodin again. They'll say, we want all your records on Vicodin for, what, a month or two-month or three-month period of time? 6 7 Each scenario, they would give us a Α. 8 specific -- I believe a specific date or week in the past. Even they'd say, Show me your records 9 10 from June of 2010. 11 And would you give them the records? 12 Α. We would. 13 Did any of these unannounced inspections 14 ever result in the DEA telling you that you're 15 doing something wrong at the warehouse? A. No, they did not. 16 17 Did the DEA ever find any discrepancies with respect to the controlled substances that HBC 18 19 was distributing? 2.0 A. No, they didn't. 21 Were the pickers in the narc rooms, were 22 they trained on the Manhattan system? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. On how to use the scanners? How to pick? Things of that nature? 25

```
146
 1
           Α.
                Yes.
 2
                The headsets that they were wearing, was
 3
      Manhattan instructing through the headsets?
           A. Vocollect was instructing, yes.
 4
                I'm sorry. Vocollect.
           I mean, was there like a computerized voice
 6
 7
      of some sort?
 8
           Α.
                Yes.
 9
                If I was wearing one, it would say, go
           Q.
10
      to aisle 3, bay 7, shelf 2, slot 7?
11
           Α.
                Yes.
12
                And then as I pick -- I'm a picker -- I
13
      go to that slot, and I brush the bar code past my
      wrist scanner?
14
15
           Α.
                Correct.
                And Manhattan then knows I did what I
16
      was just told to do?
17
           Α.
                Correct.
18
                And does Manhattan then track the
19
           Q.
20
      inventory as it's being loaded into totes and then
21
      all the way out the door?
22
           A. Yes.
23
           Ο.
                You talked about the narcotics room
24
      pickers.
25
           Over time, would they become familiar with
```

162 1 outdated products. Were these policies things that were followed 2 3 by HBC from 2009 going forward? Α. Yes. 4 The next policy on page 636 is a damaged 5 and return product policy. 6 7 Was this a memorialization of a preexisting 8 policy that went all the way back to 2009? Α. 9 Yes. 10 Ο. And what is the purpose of this type of policy? What is its function? 11 12 Α. To ensure that any damaged or returned 13 products were being handled properly, and if they required a quarantine, that they were properly 14 15 quarantined off. 16 Would this damaged or returned product be monitored by the Manhattan system? 17 18 Yes. Just the fact that it says, "All 19 damaged product must be removed from active 20 inventory," you would have to go into Manhattan to remove that from active inventory. 21 22 Q. Okay. 23 Α. So there would be a record of that. 24 Q. The next policy is -- on page 638 is the suspicious order policy. Do you see that? 25

163 1 Α. I do. It says, "Identified individuals from 2 Q. 3 Giant Eagle sourcing, pharmacy compliance, and HBC team members must review pharmacy customer orders 4 and order trends on a regular and for-cause basis 6 to identify suspicious drug orders." 7 Do you see that? I do. 8 Α. Was that something that was in effect in 9 Q. 2009 going forward? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 And were suspicious orders blocked and 13 reported to the appropriate regulatory authority within the timeframe set out in the policy? 14 15 MR. HUDSON: Object to the form. 16 THE WITNESS: I can't say that there were any that were specifically blocked. 17 BY MR. BARNES: 18 19 But does this memorialize a preexisting Q. 20 policy? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Do you know, one way or the other, if an Q. 23 order was flagged as suspicious or as an order of 24 interest to a pharmacy, would the order be held or would it be shipped to the pharmacy? 25

171 1 Again, getting rusty, but yes. What is the main purpose of the security 2 3 requirement, in your understanding? MR. HUDSON: Object to the form. Lack 4 of foundation. 6 BY MR. BARNES: 7 What does it require? Ο. 8 Theft diversion and suspicious order. Α. 9 And do you know whether or not Q. 10 compliance with that regulation is something that is dependent upon the specific facts of each 11 12 specific distributor? 13 MR. HUDSON: Object to the form. 14 THE WITNESS: I would say yes. 15 BY MR. BARNES: In working with the DEA and during their 16 multiple inspections before, during, and after the 17 HBC narcotics room was set up or any of their 18 19 surprise audits, did they at any time ever give 20 you any indication that HBC was not in full 21 compliance with the security requirement? 22 No, they did not. Α. 23 MR. HUDSON: Object to the form. 24 BY MR. BARNES: 25 What is your understanding of whether or 0.

181 1 investigations discussed? Α. 2 No. 3 Q. One of the things you were asked about is your opinion of whether or not there could be suspicious orders in the system that Giant Eagle 6 and HBC had. 7 Do you remember those questions by HBC's counsel? 8 Α. I do. 10 What is your idea or your understanding of what a suspicious order is? 11 12 Α. It's my own personal view of it. 13 Anything that's not in the quantities or in the format that it was intended to be. Meaning a 14 store called for two units and discovered that it 16 only got one. In itself, that becomes suspect and requires 17 investigation. 18 19 Any other examples you can come up with 20 of suspicious orders? 21 A. Not specifically. 22 So are you aware of any time when, at 23 HBC, the inventory counts were off? 24 Again, not specifically. But I've got to believe through the course of our operation, 25

182 there would have been a time where the inventory 1 would have been off. 2 3 Q. And in that situation, would that be a potential risk for diversion? 4 A. No. I don't believe so. Again, because of the close circuit or the -- how will I say 6 7 it -- the fact that we were distributing to 8 ourselves, you know, the next stop or step would 9 have been that it would have gone to a pharmacy, 10 and there were checks and balances at the 11 pharmacy. 12 So there's a lot of layers that it would have 13 to go through. Q. If a picker went and just went in and 14 15 took an item and then bar-coded it as if it had or -- in other words, found out some way to -- by 16 17 the way, was there ever any theft, that you're aware of, that ever occurred at the HBC facility? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Approximately how many times? Q. 21 Α. One. 22 And when did that happen? Q. 23 I got to believe it was 2012. Α. 24 Q. Was that a picker or selector? 25 Α. No.

```
183
 1
           Ο.
                Who was that?
 2
                It was one of the managers.
           Α.
 3
           Q.
                What was his or her name?
                Andy Zelaski.
 4
           Α.
                Andy Zelaski was caught stealing?
 5
           Q.
 6
           Α.
                Yes.
 7
                Was it controlled substances?
           Ο.
                I don't know the form that -- of whether
 8
           Α.
      it was or not. I don't believe it was.
 9
10
           Q.
                But he was caught stealing narcotics?
11
                No. I don't believe it was.
           Α.
12
           Q.
                What was he caught stealing?
13
           Α.
                It was Viagra.
14
           Q.
                Viagra?
15
           Α.
                Yes.
16
           Q.
                And that was a manager?
17
           Α.
                Yes.
                How long had that been going on?
18
           Q.
19
                It happened one time, and he was
           Α.
20
      immediately caught by our systems.
21
           Q.
                Okay.
22
                Christy caught it through the counts and
23
      immediately reported him.
24
           Q. Do you see, in your mind, a connection
      between the security requirements or the inventory
25
```

```
184
 1
      count issues and monitoring for suspicious orders
      of controlled substances?
 2
 3
                MR. BARNES: Object to the form.
                THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I'm following
 4
 5
      you on that.
 6
      BY MR. HUDSON:
 7
                Well, making sure the inventory count is
           Ο.
      right is one of the things you've talked about a
 8
      lot today; right?
 9
10
           Α.
                Correct.
                But the inventory count can still be
11
           Q.
12
      right, but you could have a suspicious order;
13
      correct?
14
                MR. BARNES: Object to form.
15
      BY MR. HUDSON:
16
           Q. Let me try it this way.
17
                I would say yes, but through our systems
      it would still be caught.
18
19
           So if my inventory is correct, the checks and
20
      balances on the other side of our closed loop at
21
      the pharmacies would detect it. As soon as they
22
      would detect it, because they're checking that
23
      inbound order specific to each unit, sell unit,
24
      they would call back to us and say, Guys, I was
      supposed to get two. I got one.
25
```